

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME 1: CHAPTERS 1 THROUGH 4

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
Cover Sheet	iii
Foreword	F-1
Acronyms and Abbreviations	AA-1
1.0 Background.....	1-1
1.1 INEEL Overview	1-2
1.1.1 Site Description.....	1-2
1.1.2 Organization and Administration	1-2
1.1.3 Current Mission.....	1-4
1.2 High-Level Waste Overview	1-7
1.2.1 High-Level Waste Description	1-7
1.2.2 High-Level Waste Management at INEEL.....	1-9
1.2.3 Technology Development.....	1-11
1.2.4 High-Level Waste Management in a National Context	1-18
1.2.5 Regulatory Framework for High-Level Waste Management	1-24
1.3 EIS Scope and Overview	1-29
1.3.1 Other Related NEPA and CERCLA Documents	1-31
1.3.2 Scoping Process	1-33
2.0 Purpose & Need for Agency Action.....	2-1
2.1 Purpose and Need for Agency Action	2-1
2.2 Timing and Regulatory Considerations Important and Relevant to Purpose and Need.....	2-2
2.3 Role of this EIS in the Decision-Making Process	2-4
3.0 Alternatives.....	3-1
3.1 Description of Waste Processing Alternatives	3-4
3.1.1 No Action Alternative	3-4
3.1.2 Continued Current Operations Alternative	3-12
3.1.3 Separations Alternative	3-16
3.1.3.1 Full Separations Option	3-16
3.1.3.2 Planning Basis Option	3-22
3.1.3.3 Transuranic Separations Option.....	3-28
3.1.4 Non-Separations Alternative	3-32
3.1.4.1 Hot Isostatic Pressed Waste Option.....	3-33
3.1.4.2 Direct Cement Waste Option	3-38
3.1.4.3 Early Vitrification Option.....	3-41
3.1.5 Minimum INEEL Processing Alternative.....	3-45
3.2 Facility Disposition Alternatives.....	3-50
3.2.1 Description of Facility Disposition Alternatives	3-50

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME 1: CHAPTERS 1 THROUGH 4 (continued)

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
3.2.2 Process for Identifying Current Facilities to be Analyzed	3-56
3.3 Alternatives Eliminated from Detailed Analysis	3-57
3.3.1 Separations Alternative Transuranic Separations/Class A Type Grout Option	3-58
3.3.2 Non-Separations Alternative - Vitrified Waste Option.....	3-58
3.3.3 Non-Separations Alternative - Cement-Ceramic Waste Option	3-59
3.3.4 Disposal of Low-Level Waste Class A or Class C Type Grout at the Hanford Site	3-60
3.3.5 Vitrification at the West Valley Demonstration Project or the Savannah River Site.....	3-60
3.3.6 Shipment of Mixed Transuranic Waste (SBW/Newly Generated Liquid Waste) to the Hanford Site for Treatment	3-61
3.3.7 Treatment of Mixed Transuranic Waste/SBW at the Advanced Mixed Waste Treatment Project	3-61
3.4 Summary Level Comparison of Impacts	3-63
3.5 Preferred Alternative.....	3-64
4.0 Affected Environment.....	4-1
4.1 Introduction	4-1
4.2 Land Use.....	4-2
4.2.1 Existing and Planned Land Uses at INEEL	4-2
4.2.2 Existing and Planned Land Use in the Surrounding Region.....	4-5
4.3 Socioeconomics	4-5
4.3.1 Population and Housing	4-5
4.3.1.1 Population.....	4-5
4.3.1.2 Housing	4-7
4.3.2 Employment and Income	4-8
4.3.3 Community Services.....	4-11
4.3.4 Public Finance	4-12
4.4 Cultural Resources	4-12
4.4.1 Cultural Resource Management and Consultation at INEEL	4-12
4.4.2 Current Status of Cultural Resource Inventories at INEEL	4-13
4.4.3 Paleontological Resources.....	4-15
4.4.4 Prehistoric Resources	4-15
4.4.4.1 Archeological Record	4-15
4.4.4.2 Early Native American Cultures	4-15
4.4.5 Historic Resources.....	4-16
4.4.6 Native American and Euroamerican Interactions	4-22
4.4.7 Contemporary Cultural Practices and Resource Management	4-24

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME 1: CHAPTERS 1 THROUGH 4 (continued)

<u>Section</u>		<u>Page</u>
4.5	Aesthetic and Scenic Resources.....	4-25
4.5.1	Visual Character of INEEL	4-25
4.5.2	Scenic Areas.....	4-26
4.6	Geology and Soils	4-28
4.6.1	General Geology.....	4-28
4.6.2	Natural Resources	4-31
4.6.3	Seismic Hazards	4-31
4.6.4	Volcanic Hazards	4-33
4.7	Air Resources.....	4-33
4.7.1	Climate and Meteorology	4-33
4.7.2	Standards and Regulations	4-34
4.7.3	Radiological Air Quality	4-37
4.7.3.1	Sources of Radioactivity.....	4-37
4.7.3.2	Existing Radiological Conditions	4-39
4.7.3.3	Summary of Radiological Conditions	4-41
4.7.4	Nonradiological Conditions.....	4-41
4.7.4.1	Sources of Air Emissions.....	4-42
4.7.4.2	Existing Conditions	4-44
4.7.4.3	Summary of Nonradiological Air Quality.....	4-47
4.8	Water Resources	4-48
4.8.1	Surface Water.....	4-48
4.8.1.1	Regional Drainage	4-49
4.8.1.2	Local Drainage	4-51
4.8.1.3	Flood Plains.....	4-51
4.8.1.4	Surface Water Quality	4-54
4.8.2	Subsurface Water	4-58
4.8.2.1	Regional Hydrogeology	4-58
4.8.2.2	Local Hydrogeology	4-60
4.8.2.3	Vadose Zone Hydrology	4-60
4.8.2.4	Perched Water	4-60
4.8.2.5	Subsurface Water Quality	4-61
4.9	Ecological Resources	4-69
4.9.1	Plant Communities and Associations	4-69
4.9.2	Wildlife	4-74
4.9.3	Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive Species.....	4-74
4.9.4	Wetlands (or Wetland-Like Areas).....	4-74
4.9.5	Radioecology.....	4-76

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME 1: CHAPTERS 1 THROUGH 4 (continued)

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
4.10 Traffic and Transportation	4-78
4.10.1 Roadways	4-78
4.10.1.1 Infrastructure – Regional and Site Systems	4-78
4.10.1.2 Infrastructure – Idaho Falls.....	4-80
4.10.1.3 Transit Modes.....	4-80
4.10.2 Railroads	4-80
4.10.3 Airports and Air Traffic	4-81
4.10.4 Accidents.....	4-81
4.10.5 Transportation of Waste and Materials	4-82
4.10.6 Transportation Noise	4-85
4.11 Health and Safety.....	4-87
4.11.1 Public Health and Safety	4-87
4.11.1.1 Radiological Health Risk.....	4-88
4.11.1.2 Nonradiological Health Risk	4-89
4.11.2 Occupational Health and Safety	4-90
4.11.2.1 Radiological Exposure and Health Effects	4-91
4.11.2.2 Nonradiological Exposure and Health Effects to the Onsite Population.....	4-91
4.12 Environmental Justice.....	4-92
4.12.1 Community Characteristics	4-92
4.12.2 Distribution of Minority and Low-Income Populations.....	4-95
4.13 Utilities and Energy	4-95
4.13.1 Water Consumption.....	4-96
4.13.2 Electricity Consumption.....	4-96
4.13.3 Fuel Consumption	4-97
4.13.4 Wastewater Disposal	4-97
4.14 Waste and Materials.....	4-97
4.14.1 Industrial Solid Waste	4-98
4.14.2 Hazardous Waste.....	4-98
4.14.3 Mixed Low-Level Waste.....	4-98
4.14.4 Low-Level Waste	4-98
4.14.5 Transuranic Waste.....	4-98
4.14.6 High-Level Waste	4-100

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME 2: CHAPTERS 5 THROUGH 10

<u>Section</u>		<u>Page</u>
5.0 Environmental Consequences		5-1
5.1 Introduction		5-1
5.2 Waste Processing Impacts.....		5-3
5.2.1 Land Use		5-4
5.2.1.1 No Action		5-4
5.2.1.2 Continued Current Operations Alternative		5-4
5.2.1.3 Separations Alternative.....		5-4
5.2.1.4 Non-Separations Alternative.....		5-6
5.2.1.5 Minimum INEEL Processing Alternative		5-6
5.2.2 Socioeconomics.....		5-7
5.2.2.1 Methodology.....		5-9
5.2.2.2 Construction Impacts		5-10
5.2.2.3 Operational Impacts.....		5-12
5.2.3 Cultural Resources		5-12
5.2.3.1 Construction Impacts		5-14
5.2.3.2 Operational Impacts.....		5-15
5.2.4 Aesthetic and Scenic Resources		5-16
5.2.4.1 Methodology.....		5-16
5.2.4.2 Construction Impacts		5-17
5.2.4.3 Operational Impacts.....		5-18
5.2.5 Geology and Soils		5-19
5.2.5.1 No Action		5-20
5.2.5.2 Continued Current Operations Alternative		5-20
5.2.5.3 Separations Alternative.....		5-20
5.2.5.4 Non-Separations Alternative.....		5-20
5.2.5.5 Minimum INEEL Processing Alternative		5-21
5.2.6 Air Resources.....		5-22
5.2.6.1 Methodology.....		5-22
5.2.6.2 Construction Emissions and Impacts		5-23
5.2.6.3 Radionuclide Emissions and Impacts from Operations.....		5-23
5.2.6.4 Nonradiological Emissions and Impacts from Operations		5-25
5.2.6.5 Prevention of Significant Deterioration Increment Consumption.....		5-31
5.2.6.6 Other Air-Quality-Related Values		5-37
5.2.6.7 Air Resources Impacts from Alternatives Due to Mobile Sources		5-41

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME 2: CHAPTERS 5 THROUGH 10 (continued)

<u>Section</u>		<u>Page</u>
5.2.7	Water Resources.....	5-42
5.2.7.1	Methodology.....	5-42
5.2.7.2	Construction Impacts	5-43
5.2.7.3	Operational Impacts.....	5-43
5.2.8	Ecological Resources	5-44
5.2.8.1	Methodology.....	5-44
5.2.8.2	Construction Impacts	5-45
5.2.8.3	Operational Impacts.....	5-46
5.2.9	Traffic and Transportation.....	5-51
5.2.9.1	Methodology.....	5-53
5.2.9.2	Construction Impacts	5-57
5.2.9.3	Operational Impacts.....	5-57
5.2.9.4	Traffic Noise.....	5-67
5.2.10	Health and Safety	5-68
5.2.10.1	Methodology.....	5-68
5.2.10.2	Radiological and Nonradiological Construction Impacts.....	5-69
5.2.10.3	Radiological and Nonradiological Operational Impacts	5-69
5.2.10.4	Occupational Safety Impacts	5-76
5.2.11	Environmental Justice	5-79
5.2.11.1	Methodology.....	5-79
5.2.11.2	Construction Impacts	5-81
5.2.11.3	Operational Impacts.....	5-81
5.2.11.4	Subsistence Consumption of Fish, Wildlife, and Game.....	5-82
5.2.12	Utilities and Energy.....	5-83
5.2.12.1	Construction Impacts	5-83
5.2.12.2	Operational Impacts.....	5-85
5.2.13	Waste and Materials	5-88
5.2.13.1	Methodology.....	5-88
5.2.13.2	Construction Impacts	5-89
5.2.13.3	Operational Impacts.....	5-89
5.2.13.4	Impacts to Facilities that Would Receive Waste from the Waste Processing Alternatives	5-97
5.2.14	Facility Accidents.....	5-101
5.2.14.1	Historical Perspective.....	5-102
5.2.14.2	Methodology for Analysis of Accident Risk to Noninvolved Workers and the Public.....	5-104
5.2.14.3	Methodology for Integrated Analysis of Risk to Involved Workers	5-115
5.2.14.4	Radiological Impacts to Noninvolved Workers and the Public of Implementing the Alternatives	5-116

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME 2: CHAPTERS 5 THROUGH 10 (continued)

<u>Section</u>		<u>Page</u>
5.2.14.5	Impacts of Chemical Release Accidents on Noninvolved Workers and the Public to Implement the Alternatives.....	5-121
5.2.14.6	Groundwater Impacts to the Public of Implementing the Alternatives	5-122
5.2.14.7	Consideration of Other Accident Initiators.....	5-124
5.2.14.8	Sensitivity Analysis	5-124
5.2.14.9	Risk to Involved Worker	5-125
5.2.14.10	Comparison of Waste Processing Alternatives Based on Facility Accidents	5-126
5.3	Facility Disposition Impacts	5-132
5.3.1	Land Use	5-133
5.3.2	Socioeconomics.....	5-134
5.3.2.1	Proposed New Facilities Associated with Waste Processing Alternatives	5-134
5.3.2.2	Existing Facilities Associated with High-Level Waste Management	5-134
5.3.3	Geology and Soils	5-139
5.3.4	Air Resources	5-139
5.3.4.1	Proposed New Facilities Associated with Waste Processing Alternatives	5-140
5.3.4.2	Existing Facilities Associated with High-Level Waste Management	5-140
5.3.5	Water Resources.....	5-163
5.3.5.1	Short-Term Impacts	5-163
5.3.5.2	Long-Term Impacts	5-164
5.3.6	Ecological Resources	5-166
5.3.6.1	Short-Term Impacts	5-166
5.3.6.2	Long-Term Impacts	5-167
5.3.7	Traffic and Transportation.....	5-167
5.3.7.1	Methodology for Traffic Impact Analysis.....	5-167
5.3.7.2	Traffic Impacts	5-167
5.3.8	Health and Safety	5-168
5.3.8.1	Short-Term Impacts	5-168
5.3.8.2	Long-Term Impacts	5-181
5.3.9	Environmental Justice	5-184
5.3.9.1	Methodology.....	5-185
5.3.9.2	Facility Disposition Impacts	5-185
5.3.10	Utilities and Energy.....	5-186
5.3.11	Waste and Materials	5-186
5.3.12	Facility Disposition Accidents.....	5-200

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME 2: CHAPTERS 5 THROUGH 10 (continued)

<u>Section</u>		<u>Page</u>
	5.3.12.1 Introduction	5-200
	5.3.12.2 Facility Disposition Alternatives	5-204
	5.3.12.3 Analysis Methodology for Noninvolved Workers and the Offsite Public.....	5-205
	5.3.12.4 Facility Disposition Accident Summary for Noninvolved Workers and the Offsite Public.....	5-210
	5.3.12.5 Impact of Facility Disposition Accidents on Involved Workers	5-210
5.4	Cumulative Impacts	5-212
5.4.1	Methodology	5-212
5.4.2	Identification of Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Actions.....	5-213
5.4.3	Resource Categories Included in the Cumulative Impact Analysis	5-220
5.4.3.1	Land Resources	5-220
5.4.3.2	Socioeconomics	5-221
5.4.3.3	Air Resources	5-221
5.4.3.4	Water Resources.....	5-222
5.4.3.5	Ecological Resources.....	5-224
5.4.3.6	Geology and Soils.....	5-226
5.4.3.7	Cultural Resources.....	5-226
5.4.3.8	Traffic and Transportation.....	5-227
5.4.3.9	Health and Safety.....	5-230
5.4.3.10	Waste Management	5-234
5.5	Mitigation Measures	5-237
5.5.1	General Mitigation Measures	5-237
5.5.2	Specific Mitigation Measures.....	5-237
5.6	Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts	5-239
5.6.1	Cultural Resources	5-239
5.6.2	Aesthetic and Scenic Resources	5-240
5.6.3	Air Resources	5-241
5.6.4	Water Resources.....	5-241
5.6.5	Ecological Resources	5-241
5.6.6	Health and Safety	5-242
5.7	Short-term Use Versus Long-term Productivity of the Environment	5-242
5.7.1	Waste Processing Alternatives	5-243
5.7.1.1	No Action Alternative	5-243
5.7.1.2	Continued Current Operations Alternative	5-243
5.7.1.3	Separations Alternative.....	5-244
5.7.1.4	Non-Separations Alternative.....	5-245
5.7.1.5	Minimum INEEL Processing Alternative	5-246
5.7.2	Facility Disposition	5-247

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME 2: CHAPTERS 5 THROUGH 10 (continued)

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
5.8 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources	5-247
6.0 Statutes, Regulations, Consultations, and Other Requirements.....	6-1
6.1 Consultations and Coordination.....	6-2
6.2 Pertinent Federal and State Statutes, Regulations, and Restrictions	6-13
6.2.1 Planning and Consultation Requirements.....	6-15
6.2.2 Radioactive Materials and Repositories	6-18
6.2.3 Air Quality Protection and Noise	6-20
6.2.4 Water Quality Protection.....	6-22
6.2.5 Control of Pollution.....	6-24
6.2.6 Overview of Regulatory Compliance at INTEC	6-30
6.3 Compliance of Alternatives with Regulatory Requirements	6-31
6.3.1 Permits, Licenses, and/or Approvals Required for Each Alternative.....	6-31
6.3.2 Issues and Implications of Regulatory Requirements	6-31
6.3.2.1 Delisting	6-31
6.3.2.2 Waste Incidental to Reprocessing.....	6-36
6.3.2.3 Hazardous Waste Codes Applicable to INEEL's HLW & SBW	6-38
6.3.2.4 Repository Capacity and Waste Acceptable Criteria	6-39
6.3.2.5 Cumulative Risk To The Groundwater	6-40
6.3.2.6 RCRA Closure	6-41
6.3.2.7 RCRA/CERCLA Interface.....	6-41
6.3.2.8 Maximum Achievable Control Technology Standards for Hazardous Waste Combustion	6-42
6.3.2.9 Compliance with Existing Agreements.....	6-42
6.3.3 Additional Waste Processing Alternative Specific Issues	6-42
6.3.3.1 No Action Alternative	6-42
6.3.3.2 Continued Current Operations Alternative	6-42
6.3.3.3 Separations Alternatives	6-44
6.3.3.4 Non-Separations Alternatives	6-44
6.3.3.5 Minimum INEEL Processing Alternative	6-45
6.3.4 Additional Facility Disposition Alternatives Specific Issues.....	6-45
7.0 References	7-1
8.0 List of Preparers	8-1
9.0 OCI Representation Statement.....	9-1
10.0 Index	10-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME 3: APPENDICES A THROUGH C.5

APPENDIX A – SITE EVALUATION PROCESS

APPENDIX B – WASTE PROCESSING ALTERNATIVE SELECTION PROCESS

APPENDIX C – TECHNICAL METHODS AND DATABASES

APPENDIX C.1 – SOCIOECONOMICS

APPENDIX C.2 – AIR RESOURCES

APPENDIX C.3 – HEALTH AND SAFETY

APPENDIX C.4 – FACILITY OPERATIONAL ACCIDENTS FOR HLW PROCESSING ALTERNATIVES AND FACILITY DISPOSITION ACCIDENTS FOR DISPOSITION OPTIONS

APPENDIX C.5 – TRANSPORTATION

VOLUME 4: APPENDICES C.6 THROUGH E

APPENDIX C.6 – PROJECT INFORMATION

APPENDIX C.7 – DESCRIPTION OF INPUT AND FINAL WASTE STREAMS

APPENDIX C.8 – DESCRIPTION OF ACTIVITIES AND IMPACTS AT THE HANFORD SITE

APPENDIX C.9 – FACILITY DISPOSITION MODELING

APPENDIX C.10 – ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES DATA

APPENDIX D – GLOSSARY

APPENDIX E – DISTRIBUTION LIST

LIST OF TABLES

VOLUME 1: CHAPTERS 1 THROUGH 4

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
1-1	Agreements between DOE and the State of Idaho for operations at INTEC	1-25
3-1	INTEC facilities that would be constructed under the waste processing alternatives.....	3-5
3-2	Summary of key attributes of the waste processing alternatives.....	3-6
3-3	Major INTEC facilities or activities required for each waste processing alternative.....	3-51
3-4	Facility disposition alternatives	3-54
3-5	Summary comparison of the waste processing alternatives	3-65
3-6	Summary comparison of impacts from waste processing alternatives	3-68
4-1	Population of the INEEL region of influence and Idaho: selected years 1980-2025	4-7
4-2	Region of influence housing characteristics (1990).....	4-8
4-3	Historical trends in region of influence labor force	4-9
4-4	Historical trends in region of influence employment.....	4-9
4-5	Historical trends in region of influence unemployment rates	4-9
4-6	INEEL tax support to southeastern Idaho counties (in millions of dollars)	4-12
4-7	INTEC buildings and structures potentially eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places	4-23
4-8	Estimated activity of radionuclide and mass of non-radionuclide contaminants of concern in soils at INTEC.....	4-32
4-9	Summary of airborne radionuclide emissions (in curies) for 1995 and 1996 from facility areas at INEEL	4-38
4-10	Comparison of recent criteria air pollutant emissions estimates for INEEL with the levels assessed under the maximum emissions case in the SNF & INEL EIS.....	4-43
4-11	Ambient air concentrations of criteria pollutants from the combined effects of maximum baseline emissions and projected increases	4-45
4-12	Prevention of Significant Deterioration increment consumption at the Craters of the Moon Class I area by sources subject to Prevention of Significant Deterioration regulation.....	4-46
4-13	Prevention of Significant Deterioration increment consumption at Class II areas at Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory by sources subject to Prevention of Significant Deterioration regulation	4-46
4-14	1996 monitoring parameters that were exceeded for INTEC surveillance wells	4-62
4-15	Maximum concentrations of inorganics and radionuclides in perched water at INTEC (1995)	4-64
4-16	Maximum concentrations of inorganics and radionuclides in the Snake River Plain aquifer in the vicinity of INTEC (1995)	4-65
4-17	Trends in tritium, strontium-90, and iodine-129 in selected wells at the INEEL.....	4-69
4-18	Listed Threatened and Endangered Species, Species of Concern, and other unique species that occur, or possibly occur, on Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory	4-75
4-19	Baseline traffic for selected highway segments in the vicinity of the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory	4-78

LIST OF TABLES

VOLUME 1: CHAPTERS 1 THROUGH 4 (continued)

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
4-20	Baseline annual vehicle miles traveled for traffic related to the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory	4-80
4-21	Highway combination-truck accident, injury, and fatality rates for Idaho	4-83
4-22	Annual average shipments to and from the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (1993-1997)	4-83
4-23	Estimated annual doses and fatalities from onsite incident-free shipments at the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory	4-84
4-24	Annual dose to individuals from exposure to routine airborne releases at the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory	4-88
4-25	Increased health effects due to routine airborne releases at the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory	4-89
4-26	U.S. Census poverty thresholds in 1989 by size of family and number of related children under 18 years	4-95
4-27	Summary of waste volumes awaiting treatment and disposal at INEEL.....	4-99

VOLUME 2: CHAPTERS 5 THROUGH 10

5.2-1	New facilities and land requirements by waste processing alternative	5-5
5.2-2	Construction phase employment and income by alternative during respective peak year....	5-11
5.2-3	Population and labor projections	5-11
5.2-4	Operations phase employment and income by alternative during respective peak year.....	5-13
5.2-5	Bureau of Land Management Visual Resource Management objectives	5-18
5.2-6	Total and annualized construction-related criteria air pollutant emissions and fugitive dust generation for waste processing alternatives.....	5-24
5.2-7	Radionuclide emission rates (curies per year) for waste processing alternatives.....	5-26
5.2-8	Projected nonradiological pollutant emission rates (tons per year) for the proposed waste processing alternatives	5-29
5.2-9	Prevention of Significant Deterioration Increment consumption for the combined effects of baseline sources, waste processing alternatives, and other planned future projects	5-38
5.2-10	Maximum concentrations of contaminants in soils outside of INTEC compared to ecologically-based screening levels (in milligrams per kilogram)	5-49
5.2-11	Maximum concentrations of radionuclides in soils outside of INTEC compared to background and ecologically-based screening levels (in picoCuries per gram).....	5-50
5.2-12	Estimated fatalities from truck emissions and accidents (vehicle-related impacts)	5-59
5.2-13	Estimated fatalities from rail accidents (vehicle-related impacts).	5-60
5.2-14	Estimated cargo-related incident-free transportation impacts – truck.....	5-61
5.2-15	Estimated cargo-related incident-free transportation impacts – rail	5-63

LIST OF TABLES

VOLUME 2: CHAPTERS 5 THROUGH 10 (continued)

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
5.2-16	Cargo-related impacts from truck transportation accidents	5-65
5.2-17	Cargo-related impacts from rail transportation accidents	5-66
5.2-18	Estimated radiological impacts to involved workers by alternative during construction activities	5-70
5.2-19	Estimated public and occupational radiological impacts from atmospheric emissions	5-71
5.2-20	Estimated radiological impacts to involved workers by alternative during facility operations	5-74
5.2-21	Estimated radiological impacts to involved workers from interim storage operations post-2035.....	5-75
5.2-22	Projected noncarcinogenic toxic pollutant maximum concentrations at the site boundary for the proposed waste processing alternatives.....	5-75
5.2-23	Projected carcinogenic toxic pollutant maximum concentrations at the site boundary for the proposed waste processing alternatives.....	5-76
5.2-24	Estimated worker injury impacts during construction at INEEL by alternative (peak year and total cases)	5-77
5.2-25	Estimated worker injury impacts at INEEL by alternative during operations (peak year and total cases)	5-78
5.2-26	Estimated annual worker injury impacts to involved workers from interim storage operations post-2035	5-80
5.2-27	Utility and energy requirements for construction by waste processing alternative	5-84
5.2-28	Utility and energy requirements for operations by waste processing alternative	5-86
5.2-29	Annual utility and energy requirements from interim storage operations after the year 2035	5-87
5.2-30	Annual average and total process waste volumes (cubic meters) generated during construction	5-90
5.2-31	Peak annual process waste volumes (cubic meters) generated during construction and the year(s) they would occur.....	5-91
5.2-32	Annual average and total process waste volumes (cubic meters) generated during operations through the year 2035.....	5-93
5.2-33	Peak annual waste volumes (cubic meters) generated during operations and the year(s) they would occur	5-94
5.2-34	Annual production of process waste (cubic meters) from operations after the year 2035....	5-95
5.2-35	Total volumes (cubic meters) of product waste that would result from the alternatives.....	5-95
5.2-36	Summary of key material quantities (cubic meters) that would be committed to each of the alternative processes	5-96
5.2-37	Accident evaluations required	5-107
5.2-38	Summary of bounding radiological events for the various waste processing alternatives ...	5-108
5.2-39	Summary of bounding chemical events for the various waste processing alternatives	5-122
5.2-40	Risks from bounding facility accidents for waste processing alternatives	5-127

LIST OF TABLES

VOLUME 2: CHAPTERS 5 THROUGH 10 (continued)

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
5.3-1	Summary of employment and income from dispositioning of facilities that would be constructed under the waste processing alternatives.....	5-135
5.3-2	Summary of annual employment and income for dispositioning the Tank Farm and bin sets by facility disposition alternative.....	5-138
5.3-3	Summary of annual employment and income for dispositioning existing HLW facility groups.....	5-138
5.3-4	Summary of annual and cumulative emissions from dispositioning facilities that would be constructed under the waste processing alternatives.....	5-141
5.3-5	Summary of annual and cumulative emissions from dispositioning the Tank Farm and bin sets under alternative closure scenarios	5-149
5.3-6	Summary of maximum annual and cumulative emissions from decontaminating and decommissioning other existing facilities associated with high-level waste management.....	5-150
5.3-7	Comparison of groundwater quality with Maximum Contaminant Levels in 40 CFR 141	5-165
5.3-8	Estimated radiological impacts to involved workers during dispositioning activities for new facilities	5-169
5.3-9	Summary of radiation dose impacts associated with airborne radionuclide emissions from dispositioning of facilities associated with waste processing alternatives	5-172
5.3-10	Estimated worker injury impacts during dispositioning activities of new facilities at INEEL by alternative.....	5-173
5.3-11	Estimated radiological health impacts from dispositioning activities for existing facilities (annual and total dose).....	5-178
5.3-12	Summary of radiation dose impacts associated with airborne radionuclide emissions from dispositioning of the Tank Farm and bin sets under alternative closure scenarios	5-179
5.3-13	Summary of radiation dose impacts associated with airborne radionuclide emissions from dispositioning other existing facilities associated with HLW management	5-180
5.3-14	Estimated worker injury impacts from dispositioning activities for existing facilities	5-181
5.3-15	Summary of total lifetime radiation dose and excess cancer risk from exposure to radionuclides according to receptor and facility closure scenario	5-183
5.3-16	Summary of estimated noncarcinogenic health hazard quotients from exposure to nonradiological contaminants according to receptor and facility closure scenario	5-184
5.3-17	Utility and energy requirements for dispositioning of new facilities	5-187
5.3-18	Summary of annual resource impacts from dispositioning existing facilities with multiple disposition alternatives	5-192
5.3-19	Summary of resource impacts from dispositioning other existing facilities associated with HLW management.....	5-193
5.3-20	Summary of waste generated from the dispositioning new waste processing facilities	5-194
5.3-21	Waste generated for existing HLW facilities by facility and closure alternative	5-198

LIST OF TABLES

VOLUME 2: CHAPTERS 5 THROUGH 10 (continued)

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
5.3-22 Existing INTEC facilities with significant risk of accidental impacts to noninvolved workers and to the offsite public	5-203
5.3-23 Summary of facility disposition accidents potentially impacting noninvolved workers or the offsite public	5-206
5.3-24 Industrial hazards impacts during disposition of existing HLW facility groups using “average DOE-private industry incident rates (per 200,000 hours).”	5-211
5.4-1 Projects included in the environmental baseline for analyses of cumulative impacts	5-214
5.4-2 Onsite and offsite actions included in the assessment of cumulative impacts	5-215
5.4-3 Waste processing impacts from each Idaho HLW & FD EIS alternative	5-217
5.4-4 Maximum impact from Idaho HLW & FD EIS alternatives and other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable projects evaluated in this EIS	5-218
5.4-5 List of INTEC facilities subject to closure and anticipated closure action and time of closure activity	5-219
5.4-6 Summary of radiation dose impacts associated with airborne radionuclide emissions	5-223
5.4-7 Comparison of recent criteria pollutant emissions estimates with the levels assessed under the maximum emissions case in the SNF & INEL EIS	5-224
5.4-8 Cumulative transportation-related radiological collective doses and cancer fatalities.....	5-229
5.4-9 Comparison of impacts due to groundwater ingestion from the WAG 3 Baseline Risk Assessment and this EIS	5-233
6-1 HLW&FD EIS scoping activities	6-5
6-2 Idaho HLW&FD EIS issue categories and total comments per category.....	6-6
6-3 Examples of facilities that may require permits, licenses, and/or approvals	6-32
6-4 Air, water, NRC, DOT, and RCRA permits, licenses, or approvals required for each alternative.....	6-34
6-5 Facility-specific list of permits, licenses, and approvals that may be required	6-35
6-6 Compliance status of the proposed alternatives with the INEEL HLW enforceable milestones.....	6-43

LIST OF FIGURES

VOLUME 1: CHAPTERS 1 THROUGH 4

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
1-1	Idaho National Engineering & Environmental Laboratory vicinity map	1-3
1-2	Major facility areas located at the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory	1-5
1-3	Selected land use within a 50-mile radius of the Idaho National Engineering & Environmental Laboratory	1-6
1-4	Major facilities at INTEC	1-8
1-5	Tank Farm at INTEC	1-12
1-6	Current INTEC high-level waste system simplified flow diagram	1-13
1-7	The Calcined Solids Storage Facilities at INTEC (bin sets)	1-14
1-8	Tank heel removal and stabilization	1-19
3-1	No Action Alternative	3-9
3-2	Continued Current Operations Alternative	3-13
3-3	Full Separations Option	3-17
3-4	Major facilities at INTEC	3-20
3-5	Artist's Conception for Location of Facilities that would be constructed under the Full Separations Option	3-21
3-6	Planning Basis Option	3-25
3-7	Artist's Conception for Location of Facilities that would be constructed for the Planning Basis Option	3-27
3-8	Transuranic Separations Option	3-29
3-9	Artist's Conception for Location of Facilities that would be constructed under the Transuranic Separations Option	3-31
3-10	Hot Isostatic Pressed Waste Option	3-35
3-11	Artist's Conception for Location of Facilities that would be constructed under the Non-Separations Alternative	3-37
3-12	Direct Cement Waste Option	3-39
3-13	Early Vitrification Option	3-43
3-14	Minimum INEEL Processing Alternative	3-47
3-15	Artist's Conception for Location of Facilities that would be constructed under the Minimum INEEL Processing Alternative	3-49
3-16	Time Lines	3-66
4-1	Selected land use within a 50-mile radius of the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory	4-4
4-2	Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory vicinity map	4-6
4-3	1995 employment by sector	4-10
4-4	Plants used by the Shoshone Bannock located on or near INEEL	4-17
4-5	Historic trails and roads of Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory	4-21
4-6	Map of the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory showing locations of volcanic rift zones	4-29
4-7	Lithologic logs of deep drill holes on INEEL	4-30

LIST OF FIGURES

VOLUME 1: CHAPTERS 1 THROUGH 4 (continued)

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
4-8	Annual average wind direction and speed at meteorological monitoring stations on INEEL	4-35
4-9	Offsite environmental dosimeter and foodstuff sampling locations.....	4-40
4-10	Surface water features of the Mud Lake-Lost River Basin.....	4-50
4-11	INTEC monitoring locations and major upper basalt perched water bodies.....	4-52
4-12	Predicted inundation area for the probable maximum flood-induced overtopping failure of the Mackay Dam	4-53
4-13	U.S. Geological Survey 100-year flood plain on the INEEL	4-55
4-14	U.S. Bureau of Reclamation 100-year flood plain on the INEEL	4-56
4-15	U.S. Bureau of Reclamation 500-year flood plain on the INEEL	4-57
4-16	Aquifers of Idaho	4-59
4-17	Distribution of tritium in Snake River Plain aquifer on the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (1990-1992)	4-66
4-18	Distribution of strontium-90 in Snake River Plain aquifer on the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory	4-67
4-19	Distribution of iodine-129 in Snake River Plain aquifer on the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (1990-1991)	4-68
4-20	Vegetation at the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory	4-70
4-21	Approximate location of wildfires at the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory	4-72
4-22	Regional roadway infrastructure in southeastern Idaho	4-79
4-23	Typical A-Weighted Sound Levels.....	4-86
4-24	Minority population distribution within 50 miles of INTEC	4-93
4-25	Low-income population distribution within 50 miles of INTEC	4-94

VOLUME 2: CHAPTERS 5 THROUGH 10

5.2-1	Total projected direct employment by alternative compared to projected baseline employment at INTEC.....	5-8
5.2-2	Comparison of air pathway doses by alternative.....	5-27
5.2-3	Comparison of criteria pollutant emission rate estimates by waste processing alternative.....	5-30
5.2-4	Comparison of criteria air pollutant impacts by alternative	5-32
5.2-5	Comparison of toxic air impacts by alternative	5-36
5.2-6	Conceptual relationship of implementation risk to environmental risk	5-103
5.2-7	Conceptual relationship between sources of risk to involved workers	5-104
5.2-8	Point estimates of integrated involved worker risk for waste processing alternatives from accidents	5-125

LIST OF FIGURES

VOLUME 2: CHAPTERS 5 THROUGH 10 (continued)

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
5.2-9 Simulation of integrated involved worker risk for waste processing alternatives from accidents.....	5-126
5.3-1 Comparison of criteria pollutant and fugitive dust emission rates for dispositioning of facilities associated with waste processing alternatives.....	5-142
5.3-2 Comparison of air pathway doses for dispositioning facilities associated with waste processing alternatives	5-143
5.3-3 Comparison of criteria air pollutant impacts for dispositioning facilities associated with waste processing alternatives	5-144
5.3-4 Toxic air pollutants impacts for dispositioning of facilities associated with waste processing alternatives	5-148
5.3-5 Air pathway doses by Tank Farm and bin set closure option.....	5-151
5.3-6 Criteria air pollutant impacts by Tank Farm and bin set closure alternative.....	5-152
5.3-7 Toxic air pollutant impacts for Tank Farm and bin set closure options	5-156
5.3-8 Air pathway doses for dispositioning existing INTEC facilities associated with high-level waste management.....	5-157
5.3-9 Comparison of criteria air pollutant impacts for dispositioning existing INTEC facilities associated with high-level waste management	5-158
5.3-10 Comparison of toxic air impacts for dispositioning existing INTEC facilities	5-162
5.3-11 Impact assessment methodology for hypothetical disposition accidents in INTEC facilities.....	5-201
5.4-1 Cumulative generation of low-level waste at INEEL, 1995-2050	5-235
5.4-2 Cumulative generation of mixed low-level waste at INEEL, 1995-2050	5-235
5.4-3 Cumulative generation of hazardous waste at INEEL, 1995-2050	5-236
5.4-4 Cumulative generation of industrial waste at INEEL, 1995-2050	5-236
6-1 Consultation letter to the Shoshone-Bannock Tribes.....	6-3
6-2 Consultation letter to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	6-7
6-3 Response letter from U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	6-9
6-4 Consultation letter to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.....	6-10
6-5 Response letter from U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	6-11
6-6 Consultation letter to the Shoshone-Bannock Tribes.....	6-12
6-7 Consultation letter to the State Historic Preservation Office.....	6-14